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## Background

Polymers used as drag reducers in liquid pipe transport Can gas flow be improved using additives as well?
$>$ Classical polymers do not dissolve in gases -> fibres?
> Fibres should be cheap \& and safe \& easy to handle


## Cooperation TUDelft - NTNU

(Nieuwstadt, Andersen, Boersma

## Gillissen, Mortenson; <br> various others)

$>$ How to scale from
'point particles' to 'finite size'?
> What would then a possible mechanism for DR be?

## In Memoriam - Frans Nieuwstadt April 8, 1946 - May 182005



## Theory: Velocity profile in pipe flow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{*}=\sqrt{\tau_{W} / \rho} \\
& \text { 'friction velocity' }
\end{aligned}
$$

$>$ Drag reduction?
Shift of log-layer; thicker buffer-layer or 'Elastic layer'
> MDR / Virk?
Elastic layer fills
'complete pipe'


With fibres??? Difficult to assess in a real flow; local measurement required in obscured pipe...

## Theory: Bulk velocity in pipe flow



## Theory: Bulk velocity in pipe flow

Flow rate - Pressure drop relation
$\Delta P / \Delta L=\frac{1}{2} \rho U_{B}^{2} \cdot f / D$
$>$ Moody plot

$$
f\left(\operatorname{Re}_{B}, e / D\right)=f\left(U_{B} D / v\right)
$$

$$
f=0.316 * \mathrm{Re}_{B}^{-1 / 4} \text { Smooth pipe; }
$$ moderate Re

$\frac{1}{2} \rho U_{B}^{2} \cdot f / D=4 \rho u_{*}^{2} / D \rightarrow u_{*} / U_{B}=\sqrt{8 f}$

$$
U_{B} / u_{*}=U^{+}=g\left(\operatorname{Re}_{*}\right)=g\left(u_{*} D / v\right)
$$

equivalent to Flow rate - friction velocity relation
> Prandtl-Kármán plot

## Theory: Parameters in particle-laden flow

Inertia-to-viscosity: Reynolds
Response-to-Kolmogorov time: Stokes $\quad S t=\tau_{P}(\Delta \rho / \rho) / \tau_{\text {Ко }}$ Inertia-to-gravity: (densimetric) Froude $F r=u_{*} / \sqrt{g D \Delta \rho / \rho}$ Fibre concentration by volume $c$, or number density, $n$ Fibre aspect ratio $r=/ / d$

To simplify but keep essential, we use a density matched system of water and nylon.

## Fibres: Suspension regimes

With increasing concentration $c$, or number density $n$ :
(a) Dilute $n \cdot l^{3}=\left(n \cdot l d^{2}\right) \cdot(l / d)^{2}=c r^{2} \ll 1$

Distance between particles large where $\quad c=$ particle volume fraction
and $\quad r=$ particle aspect ratio $=l / d$
$>$ No drag reduction
(b) Semi-dilute $c r^{2}>1 ; \mathrm{cr} \ll 1$ distance between particles of order particle diameter $>$ Drag reduction
(c) Concentrated / Dense; cr>1
$>$ Clogging


## Theory: Drag reduction definitions

Pressure drop decrease:

$$
D R \%=\frac{f_{N}-f_{F}}{f_{N}}=\frac{\Delta f}{f_{N}}
$$

with $N$ condition without fibers at equal bulk velocity $\left(\mathrm{Re}_{B}\right)$

Flow rate increase:

$$
\Delta U^{+}=\frac{U_{N}^{+}-U_{F}^{+}}{u_{*}}=\frac{\Delta U^{+}}{u_{*}}
$$

| Measured quantities | Range | Devices |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume flux $Q$ | $0.3-61 / \mathrm{s}$ | Krohne Altometer IFS 4000 |
| Pressure difference $\Delta p$ | $15-3500 \mathrm{~Pa}$ | Validyne DP15 \& DP45 |
| Temperature $T$ | $20-37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Thermocouple |
| concentration of fibres $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $0.3-10 \%$ | Mass balance |

System diameter (around) 50 mm throughout system

Vessel
(adding \& mixing)


TUD

## Fibres

## Nylon from Swiss Flock:

+ precision cut; size well known mono-disperse ( $\sigma=10 \%$
+ low density; near neutral buoyancy inertia negligible
+ high resistance to abrasion; no visible degradation
+ low absorption of water
+ rigidity
no elongation
bending ???
+ round cylinders; of density $\rho=1090 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$

| coating | $/(\mathrm{mm})$ | $d(\mu \mathrm{~m})$ | $D / /$ | $r=/ / d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| black | 0.5 | 10 | 100 | 49 |
| no | 1 | 10 | 50 | 98 |
| no | 2 | 10 | 25 | 195 |
| no | 4 | 10 | 12.5 | 390 ‘Spaghetti’ |
| no | 0.5 | 19.5 | 100 | 26 |
| black | 1 | 19.5 | 50 | 51 |
| no | 2 | 19.5 | 25 | 102 |

Microscope image,
$L=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, d=19.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

## Results: 'Moody' vs 'Prandtl-Kármán'

$\mathrm{l}=1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{r}=97.5$



## A 'typical result'

Nylor $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{L}=50, \mathrm{r}=97.5 \mathrm{in}$ water

$D R=g\left(\mathrm{Re}_{*}\right)$; maximum at intermediate $\mathrm{Re}_{*}=\mathrm{Re}_{*}$, max for low $c$
MDR at $\mathrm{Re}_{*_{\text {MDR }}}$ for $c=c_{\text {MDR }}$
$\mathrm{Re}_{\text {*MDR }}$ increases for $c>c_{\text {MDR }}$
Drag increase (settling fibres)


The other $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ fibres: Increasing fibre length:

- less effective
- but at much lower c
and at much lower $\mathrm{Re}_{*}$




Nylon D $/ \mathrm{L}=25, \mathrm{r}=101.9$ in water
The $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ fibres:
They behave similar...

- less effective than 10
- with even stronger tendency for clogging



## All compiled into numbers...

| $L$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{gathered} d \\ \mu \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $D / L$ | $r$ | $\begin{aligned} & c_{\text {max }} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $c_{\text {DRmax }}$ | $\begin{gathered} D R_{\max } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $R e_{\text {min }}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{e}^{*}{ }_{\text {DRmax }}$ | $\Delta U_{b \max }^{+}$ | $[D R / c]_{\max }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 500 | 10.2 | 100 | 49.0 | 4.96 | $\geq 4.82$ | $\geq 57$ | 7000 | $\geq 5090$ | $\geq 12.8$ | 12 |
| 1000 | 10.2 | 50 | 97.5 | 2.52 | 1.69 | 41 | 2800 | 2880 | 7.1 | 24 |
| 2000 | 10.2 | 25 | 195.1 | 1.00 | 0.57 | 31 | 2200 | 2810 | 4.5 | 84 |
| 4000 | 10.2 | 12.5 | 390.1 | 0.72 | 0.22 | 27 | 1050 | 2455 | 3.7 | 250 |
| 500 | 19.5 | 100 | 25.6 | 11.70 | $\geq 10.97$ | $\geq 49$ | 6500 | $\geq 6574$ | $\geq 10.0$ | 4 |
| 1000 | 19.5 | 50 | 51.2 | 6.12 | 4.94 | 49 | 3500 | $\pm 3900$ | 9.8 | $\pm 12$ |
| 2000 | 19.5 | 25 | 101.9 | 2.00 | 1.15 | 33 | 2100 | 1950 | 4.8 | 28 |

TUDelft

## Analysis: low concentrations

Drag reduction DR varies with $\mathrm{Re}_{*}$
At $\mathrm{Re}_{*}=\mathrm{Re}_{*, \text { max }}, \mathrm{DR} \%$ increases with $c . D R / D R_{\text {max }} \approx 0.8 \cdot\left(c / c_{M D R}\right)^{2}$
(indeed, dilute there is no effect!)


## Analysis: low concentrations

Drag reduction varies with $\mathrm{Re}_{*}$ $\mathrm{Re}_{* \text {, max }}$ varies with fibre length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}_{*}=u_{*} D / v=75 D / L \\
& \quad \rightarrow L u_{*} / v=\underline{L^{+}=75}
\end{aligned}
$$

(much) larger than 'Kolmogorov scale’

Correlating with wall units;
 $L^{+}=75$ is more like (spanwise) separation of vortices in buffer layer 'Direct interaction' essentially different from that with polymers!

## Analysis: high concentrations

Comparing: Maximum velocity increase


Fairly constant with concentration beyond $\mathrm{C}_{\text {MDR }}$ much variation among different fibres

## Analysis: high concentrations

Alternative for $c r^{2}$ (Ph.D.-thesis Gillissen, 2008); takes into account for aspect ratio

$$
\alpha=c r^{2} /(\ln r-0.8)>40
$$

| coating | $/(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {MDR }}$ | $\mathrm{cr}^{2}$ | $\alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| black | 0.5 | 10 | 100 | 49 | 4.8 | 115 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |
| no | 1 | 10 | 50 | 98 | 1.7 | 163 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |
| no | 2 | 10 | 25 | 195 | 0.57 | 217 | $\mathbf{4 8}$ |
| no | 4 | 10 | 12.5 | 390 | 0.12 | 183 | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| no | 0.5 | 19.5 | 100 | 26 | 11 | 74 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| black | 1 | 19.5 | 50 | 51 | 4.9 | 127 | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |
| no | 2 | 19.5 | 25 | 102 | 1.15 | 120 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $143 \pm 45$ | $\mathbf{3 8} \pm \mathbf{6}$ |

## Reynolds number and concentration



## Visualisation

Sliding camera; moving with the mean flow
Fibres $4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
'turbulent flow' vs. 'plug flow'


## Conclusions

$>$ Drag reduction with fibres comparable in magnitude to that with polymers, but only for a narrow range in $\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{B}}$
$>$ Drag reduction increases quadratic in fibre concentration
$>$ Fibres are most effective at $L^{+}=75$
$>$ Efficiency increases with Re, as long as fibers are short!
$>$ At $\alpha=\mathrm{cr}^{2} /(\ln (\mathrm{r})-0.8)=40$ we get a 'solidified plug', surrounded by probably turbulent 'lubrication film'

## Future experiments

- Measure inside tube
$>$ We built a fully index-matched pipe (including pipe wall)
$>$ Fibre orientation and velocities; simultaneous with liquid velocities?
> Measure in lubrication film between plug and wall
- Experiments with gas!
- Thinner fibres or larger pipe diameter Higher Reynolds numbers?
Vertical pipe?

And modelling and simulation, of course...

